### SURVEY METHODOLOGY

### Coverage

The target population for this survey consisted of Maltese residents aged 18 years and over and living within private households. A sample of 800 individuals was selected using systematic random sampling. Each individual had an equal and independent chance of being chosen.

The following two tables illustrate the estimated distribution of the target population by sex, age group and district.

	Ма	les	Fem	ales	Тс	otal
Age group	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
18 - 24	22,497	15.1	20,821	13.4	43,318	14.3
25 - 34	26,701	17.9	25,471	16.4	52,172	17.2
35 - 54	59,447	40.0	59,043	38.0	118,490	39.0
55 - 64	19,484	13.1	21,245	13.7	40,729	13.4
65+	20,626	13.9	28,625	18.4	49,251	16.2
Total	148,755	100.0	155,205	100.0	303,960	100.0

### Table I. Population distribution by sex and age group

 Table II. Population distribution by sex and district

District	Ма	les	Fem	ales	То	otal
District	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Southern Harbour	33,112	22.3	34,630	22.3	67,742	22.3
Northern Harbour	45,720	30.7	48,920	31.5	94,640	31.1
South Eastern	20,164	13.6	20,687	13.3	40,851	13.4
Western	20,585	13.8	20,919	13.5	41,504	13.7
Northern	17,778	12.0	17,721	11.4	35,499	11.7
Gozo & Comino	11,396	7.7	12,328	7.9	23,724	7.8
Total	148,755	100.0	155,205	100.0	303,960	100.0

### Data Collection

Data was collected by means of Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI) between 10 to 16 January 2005.

#### Response

After removing ineligible individuals, e.g. business telephone numbers and those where only up to 2 attempts were made to contact them (telephone was previously busy or no reply), the total effective sample size stood at 473 individuals. Of these, 348 persons, or 73.6 per cent, accepted to participate. The following two tables show the sample distribution of the respondents by sex, age group and district.

	Ма	les	Fem	ales	То	otal
Age group	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
18 - 24	13	10.0	18	8.3	31	8.9
25 - 34	17	13.1	32	14.7	49	14.1
35 - 54	47	36.2	85	39.0	132	37.9
55 - 64	26	20.0	45	20.6	71	20.4
65+	27	20.8	38	17.4	65	18.7
Total	130	100.0	218	100.0	348	100.0

Table III. Respondents' distribution by sex and age group

District	Ма	les	Fem	ales	То	tal
District	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Southern Harbour	35	26.9	40	18.3	75	21.6
Northern Harbour	41	31.5	55	25.2	96	27.6
South Eastern	20	15.4	39	17.9	59	17.0
Western	12	9.2	39	17.9	51	14.7
Northern	16	12.3	31	14.2	47	13.5
Gozo & Comino	6	4.6	14	6.4	20	5.7
Total	130	100.0	218	100.0	348	100.0

Table IV. Respondents' distribution by sex and district

### **Quality control**

The data collected was subject to a series of quality checks. In addition, the data entry program had a number of built-in validation rules, which limited errors of data inputting by double-checking the data during the data-inputting process. The dataset was further subjected to a series of other

checks during the data-editing stage in order to identify any remaining incorrect or logically misleading data.

Wherever possible, persons who provided wrong data, or omitted to provide certain data, were contacted again in order to improve the quality of the dataset. In cases where the respondents could not be re-contacted, any misleading data was deleted and imputed with the other remaining missing data using deterministic imputation methods.

### Calibration

In general, population units may be sampled with different selection probabilities, and response and coverage rates may vary across different groups within the population. This will give rise to bias in the measurements obtained, that is, small deviations of the results or inferences from the true results. If tables III and IV are compared with tables I and II respectively, one notices differing percentage distributions within the categories between the population and the sample. However, these differences can be compensated for by calibrating the sample values to reflect the true characteristics of the target population. This can be done by assigning appropriate calibration weights to the sample values in a way such that the total number of respondents is left unchanged but the number of units within each category (sex, age group and district) is changed to give a percentage distribution similar to the population. The sample was also calibrated to reflect the distribution by marital status of the population.

### Errors

The survey was subject to two main sources of errors, which are technically referred to as *sampling errors* and *non-sampling errors*.

The sample used for the survey is only one of a very large number of other possible samples of the same size and design that could have been selected. Characteristics that would be measured from other samples could differ from those obtained. This difference is termed sampling error and it arises from estimating a population characteristic by using only one sample of the population. It refers to the difference between the estimate derived from a sample survey and the 'true' value that would result if a census of the whole population were carried out under the same conditions. Indeed, the sampling error decreases as the sample size increases (but not proportionally) and as such, there are no sampling errors in a census because the calculations are based on the entire population.

The sample estimate and its standard error permit the construction of a *confidence interval (C.I)*, which represents a range of values, calculated from the sample observations, which are believed, with a particular probability, to contain the true parameter value. A 95% confidence interval, for example, implies that were the estimation process repeated again and again, then 95% of the calculated intervals would be expected to contain the true parameter value. All the results presented in this publication are worked out at a 95% confidence level.

For example, if the sample estimate gives a value of 20% with a CV of 4%, then there is a 95% chance that the true population value is contained in the interval 16% - 24%.

Besides the sampling error, a survey is subject to a range of other errors, commonly referred to as *non-sampling errors*. Strictly speaking the differences between a sample and the population it represents should arise only because of random chance. However, when differences arise for reasons other than chance, *bias* is introduced. These errors can be attributed to many sources, such as response differences, definitional difficulties, differing respondent interpretations and respondents' inability to recall information. All human errors that have been committed during the data inputting processes, imputations of missing data at the editing stage and the calibration

procedure applied also add up to this error. These types of errors are impossible to quantify, and their presence should be borne in mind by readers.

#### Estimates of precision

All the sample statistics presented here give a single value as an estimate of the population parameter under investigation. These are called *point estimators*. However all the results can be quoted as *interval estimators* by providing their confidence intervals in order to specify how accurate the parameter estimate is. Below is an explanation of how results can be quoted with confidence intervals.

The confidence interval is expressed as:  $C.I. = \text{estimate } \pm \text{margin of error}$ . Indeed, if a statistic is normally distributed, (as we shall consider here), and the standard error of the statistic is known, then a confidence interval for that statistic can be computed as follows:

statistic 
$$\pm (z)(\sigma_{stat})$$

where  $\sigma_{stat}$  is the standard error of the statistic and *z* is a constant which depends on the level of confidence desired, which in this case will be equal to 1.96 at a 95% confidence level.

It follows that the confidence interval for a proportion,  $\pi$ , becomes:

$$\pi = p \pm (z) (\sigma_p)$$

where *p* is the proportion in the sample and  $\sigma_p$  is the standard error of the proportion which is given by:

$$\sigma_p = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

where *n* is the total number of respondents under observation. Therefore the population proportion must fall within the interval bounded below by  $[p-(z)(\sigma_p)]$  and above by  $[p+(z)(\sigma_p)]$ . Table V below gives computed values for  $(z)(\sigma_p)$  for different values of *n* and *p*.

For example, in table 5, the confidence interval for the proportion of potential pensioners aged 18-24, who believe that the main source of income on retirement would be from private pensions, can be derived as follows: 56 potential pensioners are aged 18-24, out of which 26.8 per cent believe that private pensions will be the main source of income. If in table V we look up the corresponding value for n = 60 and p = 30 a value of 11.6 is observed. This means that it may be expected that the true population proportion must lie within the approximate bounds 15.2 per cent and 38.4 per cent. (Note that exact bounds may be derived by recalculating the values using n = 56 and p = 26.8 in the above formulae or by using linear interpolation in table V.)

Table V. Values for the margin of error ( $z$	$z)(\sigma_p)$	)
---	----------------	---

										Perc	entage									
"	5.0	10.0	15.0	20.0	25.0	30.0	35.0	40.0	45.0	50.0	55.0	60.0	65.0	70.0	75.0	80.0	85.0	90.0	95.0	100.0
30	7.8	10.7	12.8	14.3	15.5	16.4	17.1	17.5	17.8	17.9	17.8	17.5	17.1	16.4	15.5	14.3	12.8	10.7	7.8	0.0
40	6.8	9.3	11.1	12.4	13.4	14.2	14.8	15.2	15.4	15.5	15.4	15.2	14.8	14.2	13.4	12.4	11.1	9.3	6.8	0.0
50	6.0	8.3	9.9	11.1	12.0	12.7	13.2	13.6	13.8	13.9	13.8	13.6	13.2	12.7	12.0	11.1	9.9	8.3	6.0	0.0
60	5.5	7.6	9.0	10.1	11.0	11.6	12.1	12.4	12.6	12.7	12.6	12.4	12.1	11.6	11.0	10.1	9.0	7.6	5.5	0.0
70	5.1	7.0	8.4	9.4	10.1	10.7	11.2	11.5	11.7	11.7	11.7	11.5	11.2	10.7	10.1	9.4	8.4	7.0	5.1	0.0
80	4.8	6.6	7.8	8.8	9.5	10.0	10.5	10.7	10.9	11.0	10.9	10.7	10.5	10.0	9.5	8.8	7.8	6.6	4.8	0.0
90	4.5	6.2	7.4	8.3	8.9	9.5	9.9	10.1	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.1	9.9	9.5	8.9	8.3	7.4	6.2	4.5	0.0
100	4.3	5.9	7.0	7.8	8.5	9.0	9.3	9.6	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.6	9.3	9.0	8.5	7.8	7.0	5.9	4.3	0.0
110	4.1	5.6	6.7	7.5	8.1	8.6	8.9	9.2	9.3	9.3	9.3	9.2	8.9	8.6	8.1	7.5	6.7	5.6	4.1	0.0
120	3.9	5.4	6.4	7.2	7.7	8.2	8.5	8.8	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.8	8.5	8.2	7.7	7.2	6.4	5.4	3.9	0.0
130	3.7	5.2	6.1	6.9	7.4	7.9	8.2	8.4	8.6	8.6	8.6	8.4	8.2	7.9	7.4	6.9	6.1	5.2	3.7	0.0
140	3.6	5.0	5.9	6.6	7.2	7.6	7.9	8.1	8.2	8.3	8.2	8.1	7.9	7.6	7.2	6.6	5.9	5.0	3.6	0.0
150	3.5	4.8	5.7	6.4	6.9	7.3	7.6	7.8	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.8	7.6	7.3	6.9	6.4	5.7	4.8	3.5	0.0
160	3.4	4.6	5.5	6.2	6.7	7.1	7.4	7.6	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.6	7.4	7.1	6.7	6.2	5.5	4.6	3.4	0.0
170	3.3	4.5	5.4	6.0	6.5	6.9	7.2	7.4	7.5	7.5	7.5	7.4	7.2	6.9	6.5	6.0	5.4	4.5	3.3	0.0
180	3.2	4.4	5.2	5.8	6.3	6.7	7.0	7.2	7.3	7.3	7.3	7.2	7.0	6.7	6.3	5.8	5.2	4.4	3.2	0.0
190	3.1	4.3	5.1	5.7	6.2	6.5	6.8	7.0	7.1	7.1	7.1	7.0	6.8	6.5	6.2	5.7	5.1	4.3	3.1	0.0
200	3.0	4.2	4.9	5.5	6.0	6.4	6.6	6.8	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.8	6.6	6.4	6.0	5.5	4.9	4.2	3.0	0.0
210	2.9	4.1	4.8	5.4	5.9	6.2	6.5	6.6	6.7	6.8	6.7	6.6	6.5	6.2	5.9	5.4	4.8	4.1	2.9	0.0
220	2.9	4.0	4.7	5.3	5.7	6.1	6.3	6.5	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.3	6.1	5.7	5.3	4.7	4.0	2.9	0.0
230	2.8	3.9	4.6	5.2	5.6	5.9	6.2	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.4	6.3	6.2	5.9	5.6	5.2	4.6	3.9	2.8	0.0
240	2.8	3.8	4.5	5.1	5.5	5.8	6.0	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.0	5.8	5.5	5.1	4.5	3.8	2.8	0.0
250	2.7	3.7	4.4	5.0	5.4	5.7	5.9	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.1	5.9	5.7	5.4	5.0	4.4	3.7	2.7	0.0
260	2.6	3.6	4.3	4.9	5.3	5.6	5.8	6.0	6.0	6.1	6.0	6.0	5.8	5.6	5.3	4.9	4.3	3.6	2.6	0.0
270	2.6	3.6	4.3	4.8	5.2	5.5	5.7	5.8	5.9	6.0	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.5	5.2	4.8	4.3	3.6	2.6	0.0
280	2.6	3.5	4.2	4.7	5.1	5.4	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.9	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.4	5.1	4.7	4.2	3.5	2.6	0.0
290	2.5	3.5	4.1	4.6	5.0	5.3	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.8	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.3	5.0	4.6	4.1	3.5	2.5	0.0
300	2.5	3.4	4.0	4.5	4.9	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.6	5.7	5.6	5.5	5.4	5.2	4.9	4.5	4.0	3.4	2.5	0.0
310	2.4	3.3	4.0	4.5	4.8	5.1	5.3	5.5	5.5	5.6	5.5	5.5	5.3	5.1	4.8	4.5	4.0	3.3	2.4	0.0
320	2.4	3.3	3.9	4.4	4.7	5.0	5.2	5.4	5.5	5.5	5.5	5.4	5.2	5.0	4.7	4.4	3.9	3.3	2.4	0.0
330	2.4	3.2	3.9	4.3	4.7	4.9	5.1	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.3	5.1	4.9	4.7	4.3	3.9	3.2	2.4	0.0
340	2.3	3.2	3.8	4.3	4.6	4.9	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.9	4.6	4.3	3.8	3.2	2.3	0.0

In table V, values for n < 30 are not shown. When a group total has less than 30 observations, n is not sufficiently large enough to be quoted quantitatively, but can only be used as an indication for a qualitative analysis. Such under-represented amounts are indicated by <sup>u</sup> in the tables that are presented here.

Table 1. Distribution of respondents by age group and sex

	Ма	les	Fem	ales	То	tal
Age group	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
18-24	31	17.7	26	15.0	57	16.4
25-34	33	18.9	27	15.6	60	17.2
35-54	64	36.6	63	36.4	127	36.5
55-64	23	13.1	23	13.3	46	13.2
65+	24	13.7	34	19.7	58	16.7
Total	175	100.0	173	100.0	348	100.0

Table 2. Distribution of respondents by the self-rated standard of living and educational level

Self-rated standard	No scho Prin	ooling / nary	Seco	ndary	Post-Se	condary	Tert	iary	То	tal
of living	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Very good	2	1.8	3	2.0	4	6.9	2	6.1	11	3.2
Good	21	19.3	44	29.7	30	51.7	23	69.7	118	33.9
Neither good nor bad	63	57.8	78	52.7	23	39.7	8	24.2	172	49.4
Bad	18	16.5	23	15.5	1	1.7	-	-	42	12.1
Very bad	5	4.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	1.4
Total	109	100.0	148	100.0	58	100.0	33	100.0	348	100.0

Table 3. Distribution of respondents by the self-rated standard of living and educational level

Self-rated	18	-24	25	-34	35	-54	55	-64	6	5+	То	tal
standard of living	No.	%										
Very good	6	10.5	-	-	2	1.6	1	2.2	2	3.4	11	3.2
Good	21	36.8	24	40.0	44	34.6	12	26.1	17	29.3	118	33.9
Neither good												
nor bad	23	40.4	31	51.7	62	48.8	23	50.0	33	56.9	172	49.4
Bad	7	12.3	5	8.3	18	14.2	7	15.2	5	8.6	42	12.1
Very bad	-	-	-	-	1	0.8	3	6.5	1	1.7	5	1.4
Total	57	100.0	60	100.0	127	100.0	46	100.0	58	100.0	348	100.0

 Table 4. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they think that all income earned from the pension and other investments will be sufficient to live a comfortable life on retirement and by age group

Income from pension and other	r <b>18-24</b>		25	-34	35-	54	55	-64	То	tal
comfortable living	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	14	25.0	9	20.5	17	20.2	3	20.0	43	21.6
No	23	41.1	21	47.7	39	46.4	9	60.0	92	46.2
Do not know	19	33.9	14	31.8	28	33.3	3	20.0	64	32.2
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15	100.0	199	100.0

 Table 5. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they think that all income earned from the pension and other investments will be sufficient to live a comfortable life on retirement and by educational level

Income from pension and other investments sufficient for a comfortable living	No sch Prin	ooling / nary	Seco	ndary	Post-Se	condary	Ter	tiary	То	tal
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	8	28.6	11	12.9	9	16.4	15	48.4	43	21.6
No	11	39.3	47	55.3	24	43.6	10	32.3	92	46.2
Do not know	9	32.1	27	31.8	22	40.0	6	19.4	64	32.2
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0

Table 6. Distribution of potential pensioners i	by possible m	ain sources	of income on	retirement ar	ıd by age gro	dn				
Possible sources of income	18	-24	25	-34	35-	54	55-	64	To	al
on retirement	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Government pension	18	32.1	18	40.9	67	79.8	14	93.3	117	58.8
Private pension	15	26.8	13	29.5	7	8.3			35	17.6
Interest and/or other investment income	7	12.5	9	13.6	Ю	3.6			16	8.0
Income from part-time work	£	8.9	2	4.5	~	1.2			8	4.0
Income from sale of property	ı		2	4.5	~	1.2			e	1.5
Do not know	5	19.6	e	6.8	5	6.0	-	6.7	20	10.1
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0
cover and by age group										
Havo life incurance/nrivate noncion	18	-24	25	-34	35-	54	55-	64	То	al
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	15	26.8	20	45.5	33	39.3			89	34.2
No	41	73.2	24	54.5	51	60.7	15	100.0	131	65.8
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0
Table 8. Distribution of potential pensioners l cover and by educational level	by whether or	not they are	currently pay	ying for some	form of life ir	ısurance anc	l/or private pe	ension for pe	rsonal	
a cionan atorinal acananicai atti avan	No schoolir	ng / Primary	Seco	ndary	Post-See	condary	Terti	iary	τo	al
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	5	17.9	29	34.1	20	36.4	14	45.2	89	34.2
No	23	82.1	56	65.9	35	63.6	17	54.8	131	65.8
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0

Deserving cufficiently for retirement	18-	24	25	-34	35-	54	55-	64	Tot	al
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	.oN	%
Yes	16	28.6	17	38.6	36	42.9	5	33.3	74	37.2
No	40	71.4	26	59.1	44	52.4	7	46.7	117	58.8
Do not know	·		~	2.3	4	4.8	Ю	20.0	8	4.0
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>"</sup>	100.0	199	100.0
Table 10. Distribution of potential pensioners	by whether o	r not they thi	nk they are p	oreparing ther	nselves suffi	ciently for the	eir retirement	and by educ	ational level	
	No schoolin	ig / Primary	Seco	ndary	Post-See	condary	Tert	iary	Tot	al
Preparing sumiciently for retirement	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	12	42.9	33	38.8	19	34.5	10	32.3	†7	37.2
No	13	46.4	52	61.2	32	58.2	20	64.5	117	58.8
Do not know	e	10.7		·	4	7.3	~	3.2	Ø	4.0
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0
Table 11. Distribution of potential pensioners	by whether o	r not they ag	ree that a pe	rson has to re	tire when s/h	e reaches th	e retirement a	age and by aç	ge group	
	-18-	24	25	-34	35-	54	55-	64	Tot	al
has to reure when retrement age is reached	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Agree	14	25.0	6	20.5	33	39.3	7	46.7	63	31.7

57.8 10.6 **100.0** 

115

40.0

**7** 0

47.6

**84** 1 40

61.4 18.2 **100.0** 

∞ **4** 

75.0 0.0 **100.0** 

Disagree Do not know

Total

- 56

27

42

21 **199** 

13.3 **100.0** 

15<sup>u</sup>

13.1 **100.0** 

Las to rotiro whon rotiromont and is roached	No schoolin	g / Primary	Secor	ıdary	Post-Sec	condary	Terti	ary	Tot	al
וומא נט ופנוו כ אווכוו וכנווכווו מאב וא וכמכווכת	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Agree	16	57.1	35	41.2	12	21.8		-	63	31.7
Disagree	6	32.1	41	48.2	35	63.6	30	96.8	115	57.8
Do not know	e	10.7	6	10.6	8	14.5	-	3.2	21	10.6
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0

Table 12. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they agree that a person has to retire when s/he reaches the retirement age and by educational level

Table 13. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they they think they would continue working after the retirement age if given the chance and by age group

Would continue working after retirement age	-18-	24	25-	34	35-	54	55-	64	Tot	al
is reached	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Continue working	24	42.9	13	29.5	34	40.5	8	57.1	62	39.7
Stop working	10	17.9	25	56.8	38	45.2	9	42.9	79	39.7
Do not know	22	39.3	9	13.6	12	14.3	<del>.</del>	7.1	41	20.6
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	107.1	199	100.0

Table 14. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they they think they would continue working after the retirement age if given the chance and by educational level

Would continue working after retirement age	No schoolin	ıg / Primary	Seco	ndary	Post-See	condary	Tert	iary	To	al
is reached	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Continue working	12	42.9	25	29.4	19	34.5	23	74.2	62	39.7
Stop working	11	39.3	41	48.2	22	40.0	£	16.1	79	39.7
Do not know	5	17.9	19	22.4	14	25.5	с	9.7	41	20.6
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0

Table 15. Distribution of potential pensioners	by level of ag	reement with	ר various stat	ements						
Level of agreement	Retire and r pens	eceive the ion	Do not retir on wo	e and keep vrking	Do not retir working al receive th	e, keep on nd do not e pension	Do not retir working al reduced	e, keep on nd have a pension	Do not retii working anc security co	e, keep on I pay social ntributions
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Strongly agree	61	30.7	9	3.0	5	2.5	2	1.0	4	2.0
Agree	112	56.3	62	31.2	27	13.6	66	33.2	63	31.7
Neither agree nor disagree	10	5.0	29	14.6	14	7.0	30	15.1	19	9.5
Disagree	16	8.0	95	47.7	135	67.8	06	45.2	104	52.3
Strongly disagree			7	3.5	18	9.0	11	5.5	6	4.5
Do not know	ı	'	ı	ı	ı	·	·	ı	ı	ı
Total	199	100.0	199	100.0	199	100.0	199	100.0	199	100.0

various statements
ent with
f agreem
5
level
ş
pensioners
f potential
ò
Distribution
5.
able 1

# Table 16. Distribution of potential pensioners by perception of the current financial situation of the social security system and by sex

Perception of the current financial	Ма	les	Fem	ales	То	tal
situation of the social security system	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Is in a big financial crisis	27	19.9	12	19.0	39	19.6
Is in a financial crisis	47	34.6	18	28.6	65	32.7
Has some financial problems	37	27.2	19	30.2	56	28.1
Does not have any financial problems	11	8.1	6	9.5	17	8.5
Do not know	14	10.3	8	12.7	22	11.1
Total	136	100.0	63	100.0	199	100.0

## Table 17. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they think that the retirement age will increase and by sex

Policy a retirement and will increase	Ν	lales	Fem	ales	То	tal
Deneve retrement age win increase	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	121	89.0	54	85.7	175	87.9
No	4	2.9	3	4.8	7	3.5
Do not know	11	8.1	6	9.5	17	8.5
Total	136	100.0	63	100.0	199	100.0

## Table 18. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they think that the retirement age will increase and by age group

Believe retirement	18-	24	25-	34	35-	54	55-	64	Tot	al
age will increase	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	49	87.5	36	81.8	78	92.9	12	80.0	175	87.9
No	2	3.6	2	4.5	2	2.4	1	6.7	7	3.5
Do not know	5	8.9	6	13.6	4	4.8	2	13.3	17	8.5
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0

Table 19. Distribution of potential per by age group	ısioners by w	hether they ag	ree or not tha	t a person ha	s to have a pri	vate pension	apart from the	government	pension and	
	18	-24	25	34	35	54	55-	64	Tot	al
rerson nas to nave a private pension	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Strongly agree	1	19.6	11	25.0	20	23.8	2	13.3	45	22.6
Agree	42	75.0	29	62.9	48	57.1	8	53.3	127	63.8
Neither agree nor disagree	ы	5.4	~	2.3	7	8.3	ę	20.0	13	6.5
Disagree	•	•	ю	6.8	ъ	6.0	2	13.3	10	5.0
Strongly disagree	•	•			7	2.4			7	1.0
Do not know	·	•			2	2.4	·		7	1.0
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0
Table 20. Distribution of potential per pension and by education	ısioners by w al level	hether they ag	Iree or not tha	t a person ha	s to have a pri	vate pension	apart from the	government		
Dereon hae to have a private nencion	No schooli	ng / Primary	Secol	ndary	Post-Se	condary	Terti	ary	Tot	al
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Strongly agree	3	10.7	21	24.7	10	18.2	11	35.5	45	22.6
Agree	21	75.0	54	63.5	37	67.3	15	48.4	127	63.8
Neither agree nor disagree	-	3.6	4	4.7	5	9.1	3	9.7	13	6.5
Disagree	3	7.1	4	4.7	2	3.6	2	6.5	10	5.0
Strongly disagree	ı	ı	2	2.4	ı	ı	ı	ı	7	1.0
Do not know	-	3.6			-	1.8			2	1.0

100.0

199

100.0

31

100.0

55

100.0

85

100.0

28<sup>u</sup>

Total

13

- - -										
Know maximum amount received	18-	24	25-	-34	-92	54	55-	64	Tot	le
from government pension	No.	%	No.	%	.oN	%	No.	%	No.	%
Yes	9	10.7	7	15.9	54	28.6	5	33.3	42	21.1
No	50	89.3	37	84.1	60	71.4	10	66.7	157	78.9
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0

Table 21. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they know the maximum amount a person can receive from his/her government pension and by age group

Table 22. Distribution of potential pensioners by way they think the government pension should increase and by age group

Government pension should	18-	24	25-	34	35-	54	55-(	34	Tot	al
increase in line with	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
The inflation rate	46	82.1	35	79.5	99	78.6	7	46.7	154	77.4
Wage increases	8	14.3	8	18.2	15	17.9	4	26.7	35	17.6
Do not know	7	3.6	-	2.3	S	3.6	4	26.7	10	5.0
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15"	100.0	199	100.0

## Table 23. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they agree that the social security contribution has to increase in order to safeguard pensions and by sex

Social security contribution has to increase	Ма	lles	Ferr	nales	Total		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Strongly agree	1	0.7	2	3.2	3	1.5	
Agree	35	25.7	19	30.2	54	27.1	
Neither agree nor disagree	13	9.6	6	9.5	19	9.5	
Disagree	56	41.2	25	39.7	81	40.7	
Strongly disagree	22	16.2	9	14.3	31	15.6	
Do not know	9	6.6	2	3.2	11	5.5	
Total	136	100.0	63	100.0	199	100.0	

### Table 24. Distribution of potential pensioners by whether or not they agree that the social security contribution has to increase in order to safeguard pensions and by age

Social security contribution has to increase	18	-24	25	-34	35-54		55-64		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Strongly agree	1	1.8	-	-	2	2.4	-	-	3	1.5
Agree	20	35.7	6	13.6	22	26.2	6	40.0	54	27.1
Neither agree nor disagree	2	3.6	2	4.5	12	14.3	3	20.0	19	9.5
Disagree	20	35.7	28	63.6	30	35.7	3	20.0	81	40.7
Strongly disagree	10	17.9	5	11.4	16	19.0	-	-	31	15.6
Do not know	3	5.4	3	6.8	2	2.4	3	20.0	11	5.5
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0

Annual amount	18-24		25-34		35-54		55-64		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Nothing or almost nothing	28	50.0	37	84.1	51	60.7	10	66.7	126	63.3
Up to Lm1,000	9	16.1	5	11.4	20	23.8	2	13.3	36	18.1
Between Lm1,000 and Lm1,500	3	5.4	-	-	1	1.2	-	-	4	2.0
Between Lm1,500 and Lm2,000	2	3.6	2	4.5	3	3.6	-	-	7	3.5
Between Lm2,000 and Lm2,500	-	-	-	-	4	4.8	1	6.7	5	2.5
More than Lm2,500	2	3.6	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	1.0
Do not know	12	21.4	-	-	5	6.0	2	13.3	19	9.5
Total	56	100.0	44	100.0	84	100.0	15 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	199	100.0

## Table 25. Distribution of potential pensioners by the annual amount of money they manage to save and byage group

## Table 26. Distribution of potential pensioners by the annual amount of money they manage to save and by educational level

Annual amount	No sch Prin	ooling / nary	Seco	ndary	Post-Secondary		Tertiary		Total	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Nothing or almost nothing	19	67.9	64	75.3	32	58.2	11	35.5	126	63.3
Up to Lm1,000	6	21.4	11	12.9	12	21.8	7	22.6	36	18.1
Between Lm1,000 and Lm1,500	-	-	2	2.4	2	3.6	-	-	4	2.0
Between Lm1,500 and Lm2,000	-	-	2	2.4	2	3.6	3	9.7	7	3.5
Between Lm2,000 and Lm2,500	-	-	1	1.2	-	-	4	12.9	5	2.5
More than Lm2,500	-	-	1	1.2	1	1.8	-	-	2	1.0
Do not know	3	10.7	4	4.7	6	10.9	6	19.4	19	9.5
Total	28 <sup>u</sup>	100.0	85	100.0	55	100.0	31	100.0	199	100.0

# Table 27. Distribution of potential pensioners by the annual amount of money they manage to save and by whether they are married or not

Annual amount	Mar	ried	Not m	arried	Total		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Nothing or almost nothing	77	71.3	49	53.8	126	63.3	
Up to Lm1,000	22	20.4	14	15.4	36	18.1	
Between Lm1,000 and Lm1,500	1	0.9	3	3.3	4	2.0	
Between Lm1,500 and Lm2,000	-	-	7	7.7	7	3.5	
Between Lm2,000 and Lm2,500	1	0.9	4	4.4	5	2.5	
More than Lm2,500	1	0.9	1	1.1	2	1.0	
Do not know	6	5.6	13	14.3	19	9.5	
Total	108	100.0	91	100.0	199	100.0	